UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,060	04/02/2004	Richard J. Schneider	AC038 (26668-113)	2661
73824 7590 10/16/2008 Robert B. Reeser, III (IGT - 26668)			EXAMINER	
Armstrong Teas	sdale LLP		PANDYA, SUNIT	
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/817,060	SCHNEIDER, RICHARD J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUNIT PANDYA	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	oor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the left and the correction of the left and the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/15/08 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Baerlocher (US Patent Publication 2003/0060266).

Claims 1, 7: Baerlocher discloses of a gaming machine with a secondary game comprising accepting a wager, comparing the wager to a pre-selected wager threshold (or a trigger condition) and initiating the secondary (bonus) game when the accepted wager equals the pre-selected wager threshold, wherein the initialization of the bonus game occurs when the wagered amount crosses a threshold (or a trigger condition)

Application/Control Number: 10/817,060

Art Unit: 3714

(0061-0062 & 0065). Baerlocher also discloses of reporting or awarding the outcome as a result of the wagering game (0020, 0067).

Claim 2: Baerlocher discloses of accepting a wager to play the base (primary) game (0032).

Claims 3, 20: Baerlocher discloses initiating the secondary (bonus) game when the accepted wager equals the pre-selected wager threshold (0061-0062 & 0064).

Claim 4: Baerlocher discloses comparing the accepted wager to a maximum wager (0073).

Claim 5: Baerlocher discloses comparing the accepted wager to an amount less than a maximum wager (0067-0068).

Claim 8: Baerlocher discloses of a first indicator for primary game and a second indicator for a secondary game (0035-0037).

Claim 9: Baerlocher discloses of reel being an indicator (0032).

Claim 10: Baerlocher discloses of wheel being a second indicator (0032).

Claims 11, 13: Baerlocher discloses the prestored trigger being a wager type or amount (0061-0062).

Claim 12: Baerlocher discloses that the wager type is a maximum wager amount (0073).

Claim 14: Baerlocher discloses that the prestored trigger is a wager source (wherein the wager source is the source which evokes machine into action 0061-0062).

Claim 16: Baerlocher discloses of a gaming machine with a secondary game comprising accepting a wager on primary or base game, comparing the wager to a pre-

Application/Control Number: 10/817,060 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

selected wager threshold (or a trigger condition) and initiating the secondary (bonus) game when the accepted wager equals the pre-selected wager threshold (or a trigger condition) (0061-0062 & 0064). Baerlocher also discloses of reporting the winning of each game back to the players to display the total winnings (0036, 0044).

Claims 17-19: Baerlocher discloses that the first outcome is the primary game outcome and secondary outcome is the secondary or bonus game outcome, and any combination thereof with the primary and the secondary game outcomes (0036-0044 & 000061-0065).

Claim 21: Baerlocher discloses of a server couple to plurality of gaming machines (0039). Baerlocher also discloses of a gaming machine with a secondary game comprising accepting a wager, comparing the wager to a pre-selected wager threshold (or a trigger condition) and initiating the secondary (bonus) game when the accepted wager equals the pre-selected wager threshold (or a trigger condition) (0061-0062 & 0064).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,060 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 6, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baerlocher as applied to claims above, and further in view of Feinberg (US Patent 5,910,048).

Claim 6: Baerlocher teaches of a gaming machine with a secondary game comprising accepting a wager, comparing the wager to a pre-selected wager threshold and initiating the secondary (bonus) game when the accepted wager equals the preselected wager threshold, wherein the initialization of the bonus game occurs when the wagered amount crosses a threshold (or a trigger condition) (0061-0062 & 0065), however Baerlocher fails to teach a player tracking card, used by the player during the game play.

Feinberg teaches of player tracking card, which is inserted into the gaming machine, wherein the gaming machine, includes a play counter, which tracks the amount of money paid into the slot machine by the player (col. 3: 41-46). It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Baerlocher to include a player tracking card, which tracks the amount of money paid into the gaming machine by the players, to track the amount played by the player (col. 1: 50-52)

Claim 15: Feinberg teaches that the wager source is a player-tracking card (col. 3:42-50).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the Baerlocher doe not describe nor suggest initiating a secondary game when an accepted wager equals a pre-selected wager threshold. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. As discussed above, Baerlocher discloses of different embodiments used to initiate bonus round or bonus play (secondary play) on the said gaming machine, including a wager dependent bonus activation, where, as disclosed above, the bonus round trigger is activated when a certain amount of money is deposited by the player, thus surpassing the barrier required by the machine to initiate the bonus play (see rejection above, other embodiments disclosed by the reference of Baerlocher are additional ways to achieve bonus play on the machine and are not required to be necessary in order to achieve the initial Wager dependent bonus game, as stated by the independent claims)

Regarding the applicant's arguments that Baerlocher does not describe not suggest a controller structured to initiate the secondary game responsive to a signal received from a determiner. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. As disclosed in figure 2 and also in paragraphs 35-36, Baerlocher teaches of a processor, a memory connected to the processor, wherein the memory holds game programs which controls the gaming device so that the play on the gaming machine occurs with applicable game rules and pay-tables. Thus, Baerlocher, inherently disclose of a processor adapted to keeps count of all the wagers submitted by the player at the

gaming machine, and when the submitted amount exceeds the required amount, the processor activates the bonus round (secondary game).

The arguments submitted by the applicant has been noted, however are deemed non-persuasive due to the reason provided above, consequently the rejection is maintained.

Examiner notes, the examiner has cited particular paragraphs and/or columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUNIT PANDYA whose telephone number is (571)272-2823. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,060 Page 8

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SP

/Scott E. Jones/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714